

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,458	10/02/2001	Jan Seppala	S63.2-10087	9618
490 75	7590 03/25/2004 EXAMINER			
	ETT & STEINKRAUS	BAXTER,	BAXTER, JESSICA R	
6109 BLUE CI	RCLE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2000			ARTORIT	I AI ER NOMBER
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185 3731			10	
		DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
00000		09/970,458	SEPPALA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jessica R Baxter	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHI THE I - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/970,458

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim1-3, 5, 7, 10-14,16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,944,726 to Blaeser et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,445,646 to Euteneuer et al.

Blaeser discloses a stent delivery system comprising a stent delivery catheter (10), the stent delivery catheter having a stent mounting region, the stent mounting region having an inflatable portion (14); a stent (18) disposed about the stent mounting region, the stent having an unexpanded position and an expanded position; a first and second stent retaining sleeve (36,38) having first and second ends, the first end being attached to the stent delivery catheter (Column 3 lines 60-67), the first and second stent retaining sleeve disposed about at least a portion of the stent in the unexpanded position (FIGS. 3-7), the first and second stent retaining sleeves being constructed and arranged to retract toward the attached first end when the stent is expanded (FIGS. 3-5), thereby shortening the distance between the first and second ends of the first and second stent retaining sleeves. Blaeser discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second stent retaining sleeves covering substantially the entirestent in the unexpanded position. Euteneuer teaches that the proximal and distal retaining sleeves overlap to form a seal (Column 6 lines 44-48). It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3731

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Blaeser with the overlapping stent retaining sleeves in order to provide a seal between the sleeves.

3. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaeser et al. '726 in view of Euteneuer et al. '646, as applied above, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,168,617 to Blaeser et al.

Blaeser '726, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second stent retaining sleeves having a plurality of openings. Blaeser teaches that the stent retaining sleeves may be provided with a plurality of holes to enhance their flexibility (Column 6 lines 9-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Blaeser '726, as modified, with a plurality of openings in order to increase the flexibility of the device.

4. Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaeser et al. '726 in view of Euteneuer et al. '646, as applied above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,919,170 to Woessner.

Blaeser, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the stent retaining sleeves being made of alternating strips of two materials. Woessner teaches that alternating strips of material are provided in a catheter in order to provide visual monitoring of the device (Column 2 lines 33-46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Blaeser, as modified, with the alternating stripes of material in order to monitor the device as it travels through vessels in the body.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,458

Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 703-305-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,458

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

No Prb

> MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700